## **EXHIBIT 6**

	Page 1				
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT				
2	FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA				
3	~~~~~~~~~~~~~				
4					
5	THE ESTATE OF NAJEE ALI BAKER, by and through				
6	his Ancillary Administrator, Jemel Ali Dixon,				
7					
8	Plaintiff,				
9					
10	vs. Case No. 1:19-cv-00477-CCE-LPA				
11					
12	WAKE FOREST UNIVERSITY, et al.,				
13					
14	Defendants.				
15	~~~~~~~~~~~~				
16	Deposition of:				
17	CHIEF REGINA LAWSON				
18	(Appearing Remotely Via Zoom)				
19					
	January 13, 2021				
20	9:34 a.m.				
21	Taken at:				
22	3741 Ashland Drive				
	Maiden, North Carolina				
23					
24	Joyce Lynn Shannon, RPR				
25					

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

1 | The Barn?

8

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And a lot of these e-mails are from Harold Holmes.
- 5 Who was Harold Holmes?
- A. At that particular time he was our Dean of Students.
  - Q. At that particular time did he have a role on the Planning Team that you described earlier?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 O. What was his role?
- A. He convened the group. It was more of a Working Group. I'm not sure of the exact title of the group at that time.
  - Q. As far as you understood, what were the goals of the Working Group?
  - A. Really part of the continuation of developing procedures for the facility, as well as this particular case, for Greek parties inside the facility, hosted in the facility.
  - Q. Was one of the goals of the Working Group to help develop policies and guidelines to help make those Barn events, particularly those hosted by Greek organizations, safe?

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

- Q. And at the time you were having these discussions, is it true that there was a concern that the events were not being managed or handled safely?
- A. I think that is more the matter of the event management processes had not yet been fully developed, and had to be revised to -- to better accommodate of the attendees and put management structure into place.
- Q. And would you agree that one of the goals was to come up with a policy, as you say, that helps -- that recognizes -- well, I'm going to ask you a better question.

Can you turn to I think it's the third page of the e-mail chain, Bates stamped 3558?

Up at the top there's a date on Monday, November 26, 2012.

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And this is an e-mail from Dean Holmes; do you see that?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And he is setting forth, as far as
  I understand, things that he would like the

	Page 171				
1	that.				
2	A. Yes, sir.				
3	Q. Do you recognize the document				
4	that's been marked as Exhibit 2?				
5	A. No, sir, I don't.				
6	Q. Is it safe to assume, then, that				
7	you had no role, as far as you remember, in				
8	preparing this document?				
9	A. No, sir, not to my recollection.				
L 0	Q. On Slide 3 or Page 3 of the				
L 1	Exhibit, there's a photograph of a structure				
L 2	with some text. Let me know when you're there.				
L 3	A. Yes, sir.				
L 4	Q. Do you recognize the structure				
L 5	that's depicted in this photograph?				
L 6	A. Yes, sir, I do.				
L 7	Q. What is that structure?				
L 8	A. That's the Wake Forest University				
L 9	Barn.				
2 0	Q. On this slide there are a couple				
21	sentences. And one of them says, "Previously				
22	management of Barn parties were the				
23	responsibility of the Police Department."				
2 4	Do you see where I'm reading?				
25	A. Yes, sir, I do.				

- Q. Do you agree that at one point in time Barn parties were the responsibility -- the management of Barn parties were the responsibility of Wake Forest Police Department?
- A. Sir, I can't say that I agree that it was our responsibility. I can say that we found ourselves managing events because we didn't have structure in place to manage the events at that particular venue because there was no building manager or coordinator.
- Q. This slide goes on to say, "Through teamwork and many conversations involving all stakeholders, the management of Barn parties was placed on the host organization."

Do you see where I was reading there?

- A. Yes, I do, sir.
- Q. Do you agree that at some point in time the management of Barn parties became the responsibility of the organizations hosting the events at The Barn?
- A. Sir, I'd have to say I'm confused about this statement, and I'm not sure the source of this document. I would say host

2.2

2.5

- organizations have a role, but I'm not sure "management was placed on the host organizations" is an accurate statement.
- Q. What would be accurate to say in terms of who was responsible for managing Barn parties after the development of the policy we were discussing where the presence of Law Enforcement Officers was reduced from sixteen to one?

MR. KING: Objection.

- A. I can't say exactly the time frame, but the Office of Student Engagement became the oversight managers of all social events at The Barn.
- Q. Why don't you go ahead a few slides, if you would, to the one captioned "Barn Party Procedures Involving WFU Police"?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, I haven't said it yet, but you understand that this civil case in which you're appearing to testify arises out of the shooting death of Najee Baker on January 18 and January 19, 2018?
  - A. Yes, sir, I do.
    - Q. So what I'm interested in knowing

2.

Page 190 1 didn't you? 2. MR. KING: Objection. I agreed with Mr. Hickman that it 3 Α. 4 was irresponsible? 5 And you thought there should be 6 more Police present? 7 MR. KING: I'm sorry, were you asking for a clarification or were you 8 repeating the question, Chief? 9 10 THE WITNESS: I was repeating the 11 question. 12 Did you agree with Mr. Hickman that Ο. 1.3 it was irresponsible to host a 500-person party 14 with the staff present of six Rhino Security and one Wake Forest University Police Officer? 15 16 No, sir, I did not. Α. 17 But you thought there should be Q. 18 more Police at The Barn Events; didn't you? 19 MR. KING: Objection. 20 Sir, I had confidence in the event Α. 21 management procedures that had been developed. 2.2 Ο. But you didn't recommend that 23 Police presence be reduced to just one Police 24 Officer, right? 25 Given the fact that we had Α.

Page 191 additional Officers on patrol with rapid 1 2. response times, we agreed to try one Officer. 3 You agreed to try it, but that wasn't your recommendation; am I right about 4 5 that? I was willing to implement it and 6 7 evaluate its effectiveness. But again, that was not your 8 9 recommendation, was it? No, sir, that was not my 10 11 recommendation. 12 That decision came from the Dean of 0. 13 Students Office, right? 14 MR. KING: Objection. 15 Α. That was a result of the ongoing 16 Working Group revising the event management 17 procedures. But the Dean of Students Office was 18 19 the author of the current Event Management 20 Policy in place at the time Mr. Hickman sent 21 his e-mail; wasn't it? 2.2 MR. KING: Objection. 23 Yes, sir, to the best of my 24 recollection. And that's why Ms. McCauley-Davis 2.5 0.

- told Mr. Hickman that before there were any
  major policy shifts, the Dean of Students would
  need to be brought into the conversation,
- 4 right?

7

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

- 5 A. Yes, sir. Based on my 6 interpretation of this e-mail, yes.
  - Q. And that's because the Dean of Students Office was the one in charge of event management for The Barn?
  - A. Yes, sir, to the best of my recollection. Based on this document, yes.
  - Q. And the Dean of Students Office were the ones making the final decisions about how many Officers would work Barn events?
  - MR. KING: Objection.
    - A. Again, sir, it was a collaborative approach working through a combination of the hybrid model of security and Police.
    - Q. It was a collaborative approach, but the Dean of Students Office had the final say; didn't they?
- MR. KING: Objection.
- A. So we agreed to use this model and evaluate it.
  - Q. And it's true, right, that the Dean

Page 193 of Students Office was the final decision maker 1 on whether or not to use the model? 3 MR. KING: Objection. Yes, sir, to the best of my 4 Α. 5 understanding. MR. KING: Is this a good spot? 6 7 MR. FAZZOLA: Yes, yes. Let's take a break. 8 9 (Recess taken.) 10 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, if I could, sir, 12 I'd just like to clarify that the discussion 1.3 we're having about who recommended the one 14 Officer approach, I think I said this earlier, it was a collaborative decision between myself 15 and the Dean's office, Dean of Students, Adam 16 17 Goldstein, that you've referenced, but it also 18 required the approval of our Vice President of 19 Campus Life. So I just wanted to clarify that. 20 And I appreciate that 21 clarification. Thank you. And we're hopefully getting close to being done. But if there's 22 23 any point in time where you want to clarify 24 something you previously said because you think 25 of it, please do that.

RED(	ገጽጥፑጽ '	S	CERTIFICATE
		$\sim$	

I, Joyce Lynn Shannon, RPR, do hereby certify that CHIEF REGINA LAWSON, whose testimony appears in the foregoing transcript, was duly sworn or affirmed by me on the 13th day of January, 2021, prior to the taking of the foregoing deposition;

That said proceedings were taken by me to the best of my ability and transcribed under my supervision and direction;

That the parties were present as stated and that I am not of counsel for, related to, or in the employment of any of the parties to this action, and nor am I financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

2.2

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

Page 232 I do further certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings. This the 21st day of January, 2021. Japa Lynn Shannow Joyce Lynn Shannon, RPR, Notary Public in and for the State of North Carolina. My Commission Expires August 17, 2025. 

Veritext Legal Solutions